

REMARKS

In view of the above amendments and following remarks, further reexamination and reconsideration are respectfully requested.

By this Amendment, the Applicant has reviewed and revised the specification and abstract so as to correct typographical errors and so as to otherwise place the application in better condition. Accordingly, the original specification and abstract have been replaced by the substitute specification and abstract enclosed herewith. Also enclosed herewith is marked-up copy of the original specification and abstract entitled "Version with markings to show changes made". It is submitted that no new matter has been added.

The Applicant notes that the Examiner has objected to the drawings because many of the blocks of the diagrams are labeled in a foreign language.

Accordingly, it is hereby noted that the Applicant will file a Proposed Drawing Amendment in the very near future along with Figures marked in red so as to change the items labeled in a foreign language into the English language.

Next, the Applicant notes that the Examiner has rejected claims 1-13 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, for the reasons contained in paragraph 2 on page 2 of the Office Action. Specifically, the Examiner has rejected these claims for contained phrases such as "preferably", "for example", and "or the like".

To further facilitate the Examiner's reconsideration of the application, original claims 1-13 have been canceled in favor of new claims 14-26 added herein. It is noted that each of new claims 14-26 has been drafted so as to take the Examiner's remarks in paragraph 2 on page 2 of the Office Action into consideration and so as to otherwise ensure compliance with 35 U.S.C. § 112. Accordingly, it is submitted that each of claims 14-26 is in proper form.

It is noted that the Examiner has rejected previous claims 1-13 under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being unpatentable over the cited art for the reasons contained in paragraphs 4-6 on pages 2-3 of the Office Action.

Without intending to acquiesce to the Examiner's aforementioned art rejection and in order to more clearly distinguish the present invention, as claimed, over the prior art, the Applicant has canceled the original claims in favor of new claims 14-26.

Accordingly, it is adamantly submitted that the present invention, at least as embodied by newly presented independent claim 14, clearly patentably distinguishes over the references cited by the Examiner for at least the following reasons.

First, in order to aid in the Examiner's better understanding of the present invention, enclosed herewith are brochures of the "Voyager" electronic device which depicts the technical features and advantages of the present invention.

A novel feature of the electronic device of the present invention, as claimed in new added independent claim 14, is that it comprises a station operable to receive and send signals by way of a radio network, the station being provided in a housing, wherein the station is operable to exchange signals by way of a Natel-C or Natel-D telephone radio network, GSM, GPRS, EDGE system, UMTS, Bluetooth and/or signals by way of any telephone cable radio network, local radio network, or satellite network, by which electronic data can be exchanged and visualized on a display, and provided in the station is at least one interface for respectively one receiving module, such as for a GSM chip or SIM chip and/or at least one receiving module for the telephone radio networks.

Thus, in view of the aforementioned novel features, the electronic device of the present invention has an advantage in that it comprises a station operable to receive and send signals so as to exchange data, for example from the internet, without requiring a separate docking station or any cable connections. Thus, the electronic device of the present invention is not dependent on a PC or a docking station. Thus, while the present invention is very useful as an electronic book wherein the prerecorded books on the PC cards can be opened to the screen and can be read, the electronic device of the present invention can also be used for many other versatile purposes such as, for example, an internet browser for use anytime and anywhere, or as further examples, as a mobile telephone, as an address book, or a device for using standard programs like Windows Office (i.e., Winword, Excel, etc.). Another possibility is that the electronic device can be additionally equipped with a PC card and other interfaces.

Thus, as mentioned above, a very important feature of the present invention is that the electronic device has a fully integrated station operable to receive and send signals by way of a radio network and therefore it is not dependent on any external station like a PC or the like to receive data. Also, the electronic device is able to browse the internet in the full range and not only with WAP. With the dimensions of the electronic device of the present invention and the display screen, it is possible to work with the internet at every point where you can communicate with respective cableless networks. Moreover, because of the dimensions of the display screen, the electronic device of the present invention can be used like a computer but with the great advantage that it does not have to be connected to a PC by a cable, infrared connection or the like.

It is adamantly submitted that the above discussed features of the present invention are encompassed within the limitations of new independent claim 14. Further, it is adamantly submitted that the above limitations, and the above advantages resultant therefrom are clearly not disclosed, suggested, or rendered obvious by any of the references cited by the Examiner taken either alone or in combination.

First, it is noted that the Reavey et al. patent (USPN: 5,847,698) discloses an electronic book having at its side surface at least one slot wherein an electrically connecting PC-Card can be inserted (see column 4, lines 66). It is mentioned in this reference that the operating system allows downloading of information from various sources and allows browsing the internet (see column 8, line 25-28). However, the Applicant notes that the electronic book disclosed in the Reavey et al. patent is not able to directly download any information as it is always dependent on an external station such as a PC.

Next, regarding the Gaston patent (USPN: 5,956,048), the Applicant notes that the electronic book system of this patent principally works in the same manner as that of the electronic book disclosed in the Reavey et al. patent. The electronic book system of the Gaston patent requires an external station for the downloading of data either a stand 60 (see Fig. 6) connectable with a PC (see Fig. 7) or a loading device 120 (see Fig. 8). The latter enables with its facilities to download the data to the respective device.

Lastly, regarding the Hendricks patent (USPN: 5,986,690), it is noted that this patent discloses an electronic book selection and delivery system comprising many components. As shown in Fig. 2 of this reference, the home subsystem is made up of primarily four parts; a video connector 212 for connecting with a video distribution system; a library unit 262 for storing and processing; a view unit 266 for viewing; and a telephone connector 270 for connecting with a telephone communications system (see column 4, lines 31-36). Thus, this system, similar to the other two prior art systems, requires external components and/or devices to download data.

In view of the foregoing, the Applicant adamantly submits that none of the references cited by the Examiner, taken either alone or in combination, discloses, suggests, or renders obvious the features of the present invention now positively recited in independent claim 14. Particularly, the Applicant adamantly submits that none of the references cited by the Examiner, taken either alone or in combination, discloses, suggests, or renders obvious the particular claimed features of the station of the electronic device of the present invention as now specifically recited in independent claim 14 of the present application.

For at least the foregoing reasons, the Applicant adamantly submits that independent claim 14, as well as claims 15-26 dependent therefrom, clearly are allowable.

Accordingly, it is submitted that the present application now in fact clearly is in condition for allowance and the Examiner therefor is requested to pass this case to issue.

In the event however that the Examiner has any comments or suggestions of a nature necessary to place this case in condition for allowance, then the Examiner is requested to contact Applicant's undersigned Attorney by telephone to promptly resolve any such matters.

Respectfully submitted,

Theodor HEUTSCHI

By:


Dhiren R. Odedra
Registration No. 41,227
Attorney for Applicant

DRO/aeh
Washington, D.C.
Telephone (202) 721-8200
Facsimile (202) 721-8250
February 26, 2001

B